arXiv:0810.3450v2 [math.CV] 11 Feb 2009

WEIGHTED θ-INCOMPLETE PLURIPOTENTIAL THEORY

MUHAMMED ALİ ALAN

Abstract. Weighted pluripotential theory is a rapidly developing area; and Callaghan [Cal07] recently introduced θ-incomplete polynomials in $\mathbb{C}^d$ for $d > 1$. In this paper we combine these two theories by defining weighted θ-incomplete pluripotential theory. We define weighted θ-incomplete extremal functions and obtain a Siciak-Zahariuta type equality in terms of θ-incomplete polynomials. Finally we prove that the extremal functions can be recovered using orthonormal polynomials and we demonstrate a result on strong asymptotics of Bergman functions in the spirit of [Ber].

1. Introduction

The theory of θ-incomplete polynomials in $\mathbb{C}^d$ for $d > 1$ was recently developed by Callaghan [Cal07]. It has many applications in approximation theory. He also defined interesting extremal functions in terms of θ-incomplete polynomials and related plurisubharmonic functions.

This paper has three goals. The first one is to further develop the θ-incomplete pluripotential theory of Callaghan. The second goal is to combine this theory with weighted pluripotential theory and get a unified theory by defining weighted θ-incomplete pluripotential theory in $\mathbb{C}^d$. If $\theta = 0$, we get weighted pluripotential theory, and for the weight $w = 1$, we get θ-incomplete pluripotential theory. Finally we show that extremal functions in these settings can be recovered asymptotically using orthonormal polynomials.

Date: 10 February 2009.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32U20, 32U15 .

Key words and phrases. Weighted pluripotential theory, θ-incomplete pluripotential theory .

In this section we recall some definitions and major results of weighted pluripotential theory and we recall Berman's paper [Ber] which is a special case of weighted pluripotential theory. Our initial goal was to study Berman's recent work on globally defined weights within the framework of θ-incomplete pluripotential theory. We were able to prove many results for admissible weights defined on closed subsets of $\mathbb{C}^d$.

In the second section we recall some important results of θ-incomplete pluripotential theory. We improve a result of Callaghan and we extend a result of Bloom and Shiffman [BS07] to the θ-incomplete extremal function $V_{K,\theta}$ associated to a compact set K for $0 \leq \theta < 1$.

In the third section we work on closed subsets of $\mathbb{C}^d$. We define the weighted θ-incomplete extremal function $V_{K,Q,\theta}$ for a closed set K and an admissible weight function w and we give various properties of this extremal function. We also show that $V_{K,Q,\theta}$ can be obtained via taking the supremum of θ-incomplete polynomials whose weighted norm is less then or equal to 1 on K, generalizing the analogous result for $V_{K,\theta}$ (unweighted case) from the previous section. In particular we state analogous results in the case of global weights.

In the last section we recall the Bernstein-Markov property relating the sup norms and $L^2(\mu)$ norms of polynomials on a compact set K with measure μ. We define a version of the Bernstein-Markov property for θ-incomplete polynomials in the weighted setting. Then we prove results on asymptotics of orthonormal polynomials to extremal functions in the θ-incomplete and weighted setting. Finally in Theorem 4.7, we prove a result on strong asymptotics of Bergman functions analogous to the main theorem in [Ber].

1.1. **Weighted Pluripotential Theory.**

We give some basic definitions from weighted pluripotential theory. A good reference is Saff and Totik's book [ST97] for $d = 1$ and Thomas Bloom's Appendix B of [ST97] for $d > 1$.

Let K be a non-pluripolar closed subset of $\mathbb{C}^d$. An upper semicontinuous function $w : K \to [0, \infty)$ is called an admissible weight function on K if

i) the set $\{z \in K \mid w(z) > 0\}$ is not pluripolar and

ii) If K is unbounded, $|z|w(z) \to 0$ as $|z| \to \infty$, $z \in K$.

We define $Q = Q_w = -\log w$, and we will use Q and w interchangeably.

The weighted pluricomplex extremal function of K with respect to Q is defined as

$$V_{K,Q}(z) := \sup \{u(z) \,|\, u \in L,\, u \leq Q \text{ on } K\}, \tag{1.1}$$

where the Lelong class L is defined as

$$L := \{u \,|\, u \text{ is plurisubharmonic on } \mathbb{C}^d, u(z) \leq \log^+ |z| + C\}. \tag{1.2}$$

We recall that the upper semicontinuous regularization of a function v is defined by $v^*(z) := \limsup\limits_{w \to z} v(w)$ and it is well known that the upper semicontinuous regularization of $V_{K,Q}$ is plurisubharmonic and in L^+ where

$$L^+ := \{u \in L \,|\, \log^+ |z| + C \leq u(z)\}.$$

By Lemma 2.3 of Bloom's Appendix B of [ST97], the support, S_w, of $(dd^c V^*_{K,Q})^d$ is a subset of $S^*_w := \{z \in K \,|\, V^*_{K,Q}(z) \geq Q(z)\}$.

Here $dd^c v = 2i\partial\bar{\partial}v$ and $(dd^c v)^d$ is the complex Monge-Ampère operator defined by $(dd^c v)^d = dd^c v \wedge \cdots \wedge dd^c v$ for plurisubharmonic functions which are $\mathcal{C}^2$. For the cases considered in this paper see [Kli91, Dem87] for the details of the definition.

A set E is called pluripolar if $E \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C}^d \,|\, u(z) = -\infty\}$ for some plurisubharmonic function u. If a property holds everywhere except on a pluripolar set we will say the property holds quasi everywhere.

1.2. **A Special Case of Weighted Pluripotential Theory.** We recall some definitions from Berman's paper [Ber], where the weight is defined globally in $\mathbb{C}^d$. Let ϕ be a lower semicontinuous function, and $\phi(z) \geq (1 + \varepsilon) \log |z|$ for $z \gg 1$ for some fixed $\varepsilon > 0$. The weighted extremal function is defined as

$$V_\phi(z) := \sup\{u(z) \,|\, u \in L \text{ and } u \leq \phi \text{ on } \mathbb{C}^d\}. \tag{1.3}$$

We define

$$S_\phi^* := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^d \,|\, V_\phi^*(z) \geq \phi(z)\} \text{ and} \tag{1.4}$$

$$S_\phi := \operatorname{supp}((dd^c V_\phi^*)^d). \tag{1.5}$$

This is a special case of weighted pluripotential theory with $K = \mathbb{C}^d$ and $Q = \phi$. Hence $S_\phi \subset S_\phi^*$.

Berman [Ber] studied the case where the global weight $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^{1,1}(\mathbb{C}^d)$. In this case we define

$$D_\phi = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^d \,|\, V_\phi(z) = \phi(z)\}, \tag{1.6}$$

$$P = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^d \,|\, dd^c\phi(z) \text{ exist and is positive}\}. \tag{1.7}$$

We remark that D_ϕ is a compact set and $S_\phi \subset D_\phi$. By Proposition 2.1 of [Ber], if $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^{1,1}(\mathbb{C}^d)$, then we have $V_\phi \in \mathcal{C}^{1,1}(\mathbb{C}^d)$ and $(dd^c V_\phi)^d = (dd^c \phi)^d$ on $D_\phi \cap P$ almost everywhere as (d, d) forms with L^∞ coefficients.

Example 1.1. Let $\phi(z) = |z|^2$. Then we have

$$V_\phi(z) = \begin{cases} |z|^2 & \text{if } |z| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \\ \log|z| + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{1}{2} & \text{if } |z| \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}. \end{cases} \tag{1.8}$$

Clearly the plurisubharmonic function, V, on the right hand side is less then or equal to ϕ, hence $V \leq V_\phi$. On the other hand the support of the Monge-Ampère measure of V is the closed ball of radius $1/\sqrt{2}$ centered at the origin. Since any competitor, u, for the extremal function is less then or equal to $|z|^2$ on this closed ball, by the domination principle, (see Appendix B of [ST97] or Theorem 2.1 below) u is less then or equal to V on $\mathbb{C}^d$. Therefore $V_\phi \leq V$ and hence equality holds.

2. θ-Incomplete Pluripotential Theory

We recall the basic notions of θ-incomplete pluripotential theory from [Cal07]. We fix $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$. A θ-incomplete polynomial in $\mathbb{C}^d$ is a polynomial of the form

$$P(z) = \sum_{|\alpha|=\lceil N\theta \rceil}^{N} c_\alpha z^\alpha, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\lceil x \rceil$ is the least integer greater than or equal to x. Here we use the following multi-index notations. Let $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$, then

$$z^\alpha = z_1^{\alpha_1} z_2^{\alpha_2} \ldots z_d^{\alpha_d} \quad \text{and} \quad |\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_d$$

The set of all θ-incomplete polynomials of the form (2.1) will be denoted by $\pi_{N,\theta}$. We remark that when $\theta = 0$, $\pi_{N,\theta}$ is the set of all polynomials of degree at most N; and when $\theta = 1$, $\pi_{N,\theta}$ is the set of homogenous polynomials of degree N.

Related classes of plurisubharmonic functions are defined as follows (See [Cal07] for details).

$$L_\theta = \{u \in L \mid u(z) \leq \theta \log |z| + C \text{ for } |z| < 1\}, \tag{2.2}$$

$$L_\theta^+ = \{u \in L_\theta \mid \max(\theta \log |z|, \log |z|) + C \leq u(z) \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{C}^d\}. \tag{2.3}$$

We remark that if $P \in \pi_{N,\theta}$ then $\frac{1}{N} \log |P| \in L_\theta$. Another observation is if $\theta_1 \geq \theta_2$, then $L_{\theta_2} \subset L_{\theta_1}$.

The next theorem gives a domination principle for L_θ classes.

Theorem 2.1. [Cal07, Theorem 3.15] *Let $0 \leq \theta < 1$. If $u \in L_\theta$ and $v \in L_\theta^+$ and if $u \leq v$ holds almost everywhere with respect to $(dd^c v)^d$, then $u \leq v$ on $\mathbb{C}^d$.*

We remark that for $0 < \theta < 1$, we have $u(0) = v(0) = -\infty$ and the origin is a distinguished point as it is charged by $(dd^c v)^d$.

Callaghan [Cal07] defined the following extremal function for a set $E \subset \mathbb{C}^d$:

$$V_{E,\theta}(z) := sup\{u(z) : u \in L_\theta \text{ and } u \leq 0 \text{ on } E\}. \tag{2.4}$$

We will call it the θ-incomplete extremal function of E. The upper semicontinuous regularization,$V^*_{E,\theta}$, is in L^+_θ if E is not pluripolar by Lemma 3.7 of [Cal07]. Also if K is a regular compact set in $\mathbb{C}^d$, then $V^*_{K,\theta} = V_{K,\theta}$. Hence it is continuous except at $z = 0$. Here regular means the extremal function of K, $V_K := V_{K,0}$ is continuous. We remark that $(dd^c V^*_{E,\theta})^d$ is supported in $\bar{E} \bigcup \{0\}$.

According to [Cal07] we have the following result for compact sets K,

$$V_{K,\theta} = \log \Phi'_{K,\theta}, \tag{2.5}$$

where

$$\Phi'_{K,\theta}(z) = \sup\{|f(z)|^{1/N} : f \in \pi_{N,\theta} \text{ for some } N \geq 1, \|f\|_K \leq 1\}.$$

We define the following functions for a compact set K. For $N \geq 1$ we let

$$\Phi_{K,\theta,N}(z) = \sup\{|f(z)| : f \in \pi_{N,\theta}, \|f\|_K \leq 1\} \text{ and} \tag{2.6}$$

$$\Phi_{K,\theta} = \sup_N (\Phi_{K,\theta,N})^{1/N}. \tag{2.7}$$

The next proposition shows that the supremum in (2.7) is actually a limit.

Proposition 2.2. *With the above notation we have*

$$\sup_N \frac{1}{N} \log \Phi_{K,\theta,N} = \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N} \log \Phi_{K,\theta,N} \text{ and } \Phi'_{K,\theta} = \Phi_{K,\theta}.$$

Hence we have $\lim\limits_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N} \log \Phi_{K,\theta,N} = V_{K,\theta}$.

Proof. First of all we have $\Phi_{K,\theta,J}\,\Phi_{K,\theta,I} \leq \Phi_{K,\theta,J+I}$ for all integers $I, J \geq 0$. For if $P(z) = \sum\limits_{|\alpha|=\lceil\theta J\rceil}^{J} a_\alpha z^\alpha$ and $Q(z) = \sum\limits_{\alpha=\lceil\theta I\rceil}^{I} b_\alpha z^\alpha$, then $PQ(z) = \sum\limits_{\alpha=\lceil\theta J\rceil+\lceil\theta I\rceil}^{J+I} c_\alpha z^\alpha$ is in $\pi_{J+I,\theta}$, since $\lceil\theta J\rceil + \lceil\theta I\rceil \geq \lceil\theta(J+I)\rceil$.

By taking logarithms we get

$$\log \Phi_{K,\theta,J} + \log \Phi_{K,\theta,I} \leq \log \Phi_{K,\Phi,J+I}, \tag{2.8}$$

so by Theorem 4.9.19 of [BG91], $\lim\limits_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N} \log \Phi_{K,\theta,N}$ exists and equals $\sup\limits_N \frac{1}{N} \log \Phi_{K,\theta,N}$. Now by Callaghan's result (2.5) we get the last equality $\Phi'_{K,\theta} = \Phi_{K,\theta}$. □

In the next section we will extend this result to the weighted case. This proposition also fixes a gap in the proof of Theorem 8.2 in [Cal06] and we will use it in the proof of Theorem 4.3.

The following theorem extends a result of Bloom and Shiffman [BS07] to the θ-incomplete case.

Theorem 2.3. *Let K be a regular compact set in $\mathbb{C}^d$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{N}\log\Phi_{K,\theta,N}\to V_{K,\theta}$$

uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}^d\setminus\hat{K}_\theta$.

Here $\hat{K}_\theta$ is the $\theta-$incomplete hull of K defined for a compact set K as

$$\hat{K}_\theta=\{z\in\mathbb{C}^d\,|\,|p(z)|\le\|p\|_K \text{ for all } p\in\pi_{N,\theta} \text{ for } N=0,1,\dots\}. \tag{2.9}$$

It is clear that for $\theta>0$, the origin always belongs to $\hat{K}_\theta$ for any set K, so $\hat{K}_\theta$ is often larger then the usual polynomially convex hull $\hat{K}:=\hat{K}_0$. It is also easy to see that $\hat{K}_\theta=\{z\in\ \mathbb{C}^d\,|\,V_{K,\theta}\le 0\}$.

Proof. Let E be a compact set in $\mathbb{C}^d\setminus\hat{K}_\theta$. First we want to show that there exists N_0 such that $\Phi_{K,\theta,N}(z)>1$ for all $N>N_0$ for all $z\in E$.

We fix $z_0\in E$ and $\delta>0$ such that $V_{K,\theta}(z_0)=2\delta$. By the above proposition we have $\lim\limits_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N}\log\Phi_{K,\theta,N}(z_0)=2\delta$, so there exists an integer N_{z_0} such that for all $N\ge N_{z_0}$ we have $\frac{1}{N}\log\Phi_{K,\theta,N}(z_0)>\delta$. In particular $\Phi_{K,\theta,N}(z_0)>1$ for all $N>N_{z_0}$.

Since $\Phi_{K,\theta,N_{z_0}}$ is the supremum of continuous plurisubharmonic functions, it is lower semicontinuous. Hence $U_{z_0}:=\{z\in\mathbb{C}^d\,|\,\Phi_{K,\theta,N_{z_0}}(z)>1\}$ is open. Now we can cover E by the sets U_{z_0}, i.e., $E\subset\bigcup\limits_{z\in E}U_z$. There exists a finite subcover, $U_{z_1},..,U_{z_m}$, of E. Hence taking N_0 to be the largest of $N_{z_1},\dots,N_{z_m}$, we can conclude that $\Phi_{K,\theta,N}(z)>1$ for all $z\in E$ and for all $N\ge N_0$. Thus we have $1\le\Phi_{K,\theta,J}\le\Phi_{K,\theta,J}\,\Phi_{K,\theta,I}\le\Phi_{K,\theta,J+I}$ for all $I,J\ge N_0$ on E.

We follow [BS07] to prove that the sequence converges uniformly on E. We will write $\psi_N=\frac{1}{N}\log\Phi_{K,\theta,N}$. We note that $\psi_{Nk}\ge\psi_N$ for all $N\ge N_0$. We see this by

$\psi_{Nk} = \frac{1}{Nk}\log\Phi_{K,\theta,Nk} \geq \frac{1}{Nk}\log(\Phi_{K,\theta,N})^k = \frac{k}{Nk}\log\Phi_{K,\theta,N} = \psi_N$ for $N \geq N_0$. From (2.8), we have $Nk\psi_{Nk} + j\psi_j \leq (Nk+j)\psi_{Nk+j}$ for $N, k \geq 1$, $j \geq 0$. Since $\psi_j > 0$ on E for $j > N_0$, using $\psi_{Nk} \geq \psi_N$ for such j we get

$$\psi_{Nk+j} \geq \frac{Nk}{Nk+j}\psi_N + \frac{j}{Nk+j}\psi_j \geq \frac{Nk}{Nk+j}\psi_N. \tag{2.10}$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. For each $a \in E$ we can choose $N_a > N_0$ large so that $V_{K,\theta}(a) - \psi_{N_a}(a) < \varepsilon$ and $\frac{V_{K,\theta}(a)}{N_a} < \varepsilon$, and then we can find an open neighborhood U_a of a such that $|V_{K,\theta}(z) - V_{K,\theta}(a)| < \varepsilon$, $\psi_{N_a}(z) > \psi_{N_a}(a) - \varepsilon$, and $\frac{V_{K,\theta}(z)}{N_a} < \varepsilon$ for $z \in U_a$. This is possible by the facts that regularity of K implies the continuity of $V_{K,\theta}$ and that ψ_{N_a} is lower semicontinuous.

Now we find a finite number of points $a_1, \ldots a_M$ in E such that the open sets $U_{a_1}, \ldots, U_{a_M}$ cover E. We choose $N_1 = \max_{a_1,\ldots,a_M}(N_{a_i}^2 + N_{a_i})$. Now for each a_i if $N \geq (N_{a_i}^2 + N_{a_i})$, we write $N = N_{a_i}(k-1) + j$, where $k \geq N_{a_i}$, and $N_{a_i} \leq j \leq 2N_{a_i}$. By Proposition 2.2 and (2.10) we get

$$0 \leq V_{K,\theta} - \psi_N \leq V_{K,\theta} - \frac{N_{a_i}(k-1)}{N_{a_i}(k-1)+j}\psi_{N_{a_i}} \leq V_{K,\theta} - \frac{N_{a_i}}{N_{a_i}+2}\psi_{N_{a_i}} \leq V_{K,\theta} - \psi_{N_{a_i}} + \frac{2}{N_{a_i}+2}V_{K,\theta}.$$

Let $z \in E$, then $z \in U_{a_i}$ for some a_i, hence for all $N \geq N_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq V_{K,\theta}(z) - \psi_N(z) < V_{K,\theta}(z) - \psi_{N_{a_i}}(z) + 2\varepsilon \\ &= [V_{K,\theta}(z) - V_{K,\theta}(a_i)] + [V_{K,\theta}(a_i) - \psi_{N_{a_i}}(a_i)] + [\psi_{N_{a_i}}(a_i) - \psi_{N_{a_i}}(z)] + 2\varepsilon \\ &\leq 5\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have the desired uniform convergence on E. □

3. Weighted θ-Incomplete Pluripotential Theory

In this section we define and develop two weighted versions of θ-incomplete pluripotential theory. The first one is the θ-incomplete version of the weighted pluripotential theory in closed subsets of $\mathbb{C}^d$ and the second one is the θ-incomplete version of the special case of weighted pluripotential theory studied in [Ber]. As in the $\theta = 0$ case the second version is a special case of the first.

3.1. **Weighted θ-Incomplete Pluripotential Theory with Weight Defined on Closed Sets.** Let K be a closed set in $\mathbb{C}^d$ and w be an admissible weight on K as defined in Subsection 1.1. Then we define

$$V_{K,Q,\theta}(z) := \sup\{u(z) \mid u \in L_\theta,\ u \le Q \text{ on } K\}. \tag{3.1}$$

We remark that $V_{K,Q,\theta_1} \le V_{K,Q,\theta_2}$ if $\theta_1 > \theta_2$. The $\theta = 0$ case gives the classical weighted pluripotential theory. Following Siciak [Sic81], it can be shown that $V_{K,Q,\theta} = V^*_{K,Q,\theta}$, so that $V_{K,Q,\theta}$ is continuous on $\mathbb{C}^d \setminus \{0\}$, for K locally regular and Q continuous. Here K locally regular means for all $a \in K$, we have $K \cap \overline{B(a,r)}$ is regular for all $r > 0$, where $B(a,r) := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^d \mid |z-a| < r\}$.

Comparing the defining families we get the following obvious inequalities.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $K_1 \subset K_2$ and let w be a function defined on K_2 which is an admissible weight on both K_1 and K_2. Then $V_{K_1,Q,\theta} \le V_{K_2,Q,\theta}$.*

Using (ii) in the definition of admissibility from section 1.1, we show that $V_{K,Q,\theta}$ coincides with the weighted θ-incomplete extremal function of a compact subset of K.

Lemma 3.2. *If K is unbounded then $V^*_{K_\rho,Q,\theta} = V^*_{K,Q,\theta}$, for some $\rho > 0$ where $K_\rho = \{z \in K \mid |z| \le \rho\}$.*

Proof. Since $V^*_{K_\rho,Q,\theta} \in L$, there exists C and ρ such that

$$V^*_{K_\rho,Q,\theta}(z) \le \log|z| + C \text{ for } |z| > \rho.$$

Now by the second condition of admissibility we may choose ρ large enough that

$$Q(z) - \log|z| \ge C + 1 \text{ for } z \in K \setminus K_\rho.$$

If $u \in L_\theta$ and $u \le Q$ on K_ρ, so that $u \le V^*_{K_\rho,Q,\theta}$, by the above inequalities we get $u \le Q$ on K. Hence we get $V^*_{K_\rho,Q,\theta} \le V^*_{K,Q,\theta}$. The other inequality is given by Proposition 3.1, which gives the equality. □

Proposition 3.3. *Let K be a closed subset of $\mathbb{C}^d$ and let w be an admissible weight function on K then $V^*_{K,Q,\theta} \in L^+_\theta$.*

Proof. The case $\theta = 0$ is the classical case and is well known. For $0 < \theta \leq 1$ we will follow the proof of Lemma 3.7 of [Cal07].

Since $V^*_{K,Q,\theta} \leq V^*_{K,Q}$ and $V^*_{K,Q} \in L^+$, we have $V^*_{K,Q,\theta} \in L$.

Next we show that $V^*_{K,Q,\theta} \in L_\theta$. Let $M := \sup\limits_{z \in B(0,1)} V^*_{K,Q,\theta}(z)$ and u be in the defining class for $V_{K,Q,\theta}$. Then $\frac{1}{\theta}(u - M) \leq 0$ on $B(0,1)$. Hence it is a competitor for the pluricomplex Green function of the unit ball $B(0,1)$ with logarithmic pole at the origin. The pluricomplex Green function of a bounded domain Ω with logarithmic pole at $a \in \Omega$ is defined by

$$g_\Omega(z,a) := \sup\{u(z) \,|\, u \text{ plurisubharmonic on } \Omega,\ u \leq 0 \text{ and } u(z) - \log|z-a| \leq C \text{ as } z \to a\},$$

and $g_{B(0,1)}(z,0) = \log|z|$. Hence $\frac{1}{\theta}(u - M) \leq \log|z|$ on the unit ball. Since u is arbitrary we get $V^*_{K,Q,\theta}(z) \leq \theta \log|z| + M$ on $B(0,1)$. Thus $V^*_{K,Q,\theta} \in L_\theta$.

By Lemma 3.2 we may assume $K \subset B(0,R)$ for some R. Let $A := \sup\limits_{z \in B(0,R)} (\theta \log|z| - Q(z))$, then $u(z) = \max(\theta \log|z|, \log|z|) - A$ is a competitor for the extremal function $V_{K,Q,\theta}$ and $u \in L^+_\theta$, hence $V^*_{K,Q,\theta} \in L^+_\theta$. $\square$

We define the following sets:

$$S^*_{K,Q,\theta} := \{z \in K \,|\, V^*_{K,Q,\theta}(z) \geq Q(z)\} \text{ and} \tag{3.2}$$

$$S_{K,Q,\theta} := \operatorname{supp}((dd^c V^*_{K,Q,\theta})^d). \tag{3.3}$$

Lemma 3.4. *Let K be closed in $\mathbb{C}^d$ and let w be an admissible weight on K. Then $S_{K,Q,\theta} \subset S^*_{K,Q,\theta} \bigcup \{0\}$ if $0 < \theta \leq 1$ and $S_{K,Q,\theta} \subset S^*_{K,Q,\theta}$ if $\theta = 0$.*

Proof. The classical case, i.e. when $\theta = 0$, is Lemma 2.3 of Appendix B of [ST97]. Therefore we assume $0 < \theta \leq 1$. Let z_0 be a point in $K \setminus \{0\}$ such that $V^*_{K,Q,\theta}(z_0) < Q(z_0) - \varepsilon$ for some positive ε. We will show that $V^*_{K,Q,\theta}$ is maximal in a neighborhood of z_0, i.e $(dd^c V^*_{K,Q,\theta})^d = 0$ there.

Since Q is lower semicontinuous we have $\{z \in K \,|\, Q(z) > Q(z_0) - \varepsilon/2\}$ is open relative to K. Similarly we have $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^d \,|\, V^*_{K,Q,\theta}(z) < V^*_{K,Q,\theta}(z_0) + \varepsilon/2\}$ is open. Thus we may find a ball of radius r around z_0 such that $\sup_{z\in B(z_0,r)} V^*_{K,Q,\theta}(z) < \inf_{z\in B(z_0,r)\cap K} Q(z)$ and $0 \notin B(z_0,r)$.

By Theorem 1.3 of Appendix B in [ST97], we can find a plurisubharmonic function u with $u \geq V^*_{K,Q,\theta}$ on $B(z_0,r)$, $u = V^*_{K,Q,\theta}$ on $\mathbb{C}^d \setminus B(z_0,r)$, and u maximal on $B(z_0,r)$. Then $u \leq V^*_{K,Q,\theta}$ because $u(z) \leq \sup_{z\in B(z_0,r)} V^*_{K,Q,\theta}(z) < \inf_{z\in B(z_0,r)\cap K} Q(z)$ for all $z \in B(z_0,r)$. Since $B(z_0,r)\cap\{0\}$ we have $u \in L_\theta$. Hence $u \equiv V^*_{K,Q,\theta}$. Therefore we get $V^*_{K,Q,\theta}$ is maximal in a neighborhood of z_0. Hence z_0 is not in $S_{K,Q,\theta}$. □

A special case of this is when the admissible weights are globally defined. Let $\phi : \mathbb{C}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be an admissible weight function. Generalizing the case of [Ber] we define weighted θ-incomplete extremal functions by

$$V_{\phi,\theta}(z) = \sup\{u(z)\,|\,u \in L_\theta \text{ and } u \leq \phi\} \text{ for } 0 \leq \theta \leq 1. \tag{3.4}$$

Observe that $V^*_{\phi,\theta} = V_{\phi,\theta}$ if ϕ is continuous, for in this case $V^*_{\phi,\theta} \leq \phi$ on $\mathbb{C}^d$ so that $V^*_{\phi,\theta} \leq V_{\phi,\theta}$. We also remark that $\theta = 0$ gives $V_{\phi,0} = V_\phi$ and $V_{\phi,\theta_1} \leq V_{\phi,\theta_2}$ if $\theta_1 > \theta_2$ since $L_{\theta_1} \subset L_{\theta_2}$.

We define the following sets:

$$D_{\phi,\theta} := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^d\,|\,V^*_{\phi,\theta}(z) \geq \phi(z)\} \text{ and} \tag{3.5}$$

$$S_{\phi,\theta} := \operatorname{supp}((dd^cV^*_{\phi,\theta})^d). \tag{3.6}$$

If $\theta = 0$, we will write $D_{\phi,0} = D_\phi$ and $S_{\phi,0} = S_\phi$. If ϕ is continuous then $V_{\phi,\theta}$ is continuous and we have

$$D_{\phi,\theta} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^d\,|\,V_{\phi,\theta}(z) = \phi(z)\}.$$

If ϕ is a globally defined admissible weight function then we define $K := D_{\phi,\theta}$ and $Q := \phi|_K$. Clearly $V^*_{\phi,\theta} \leq Q$ quasi everywhere in K so $V^*_{\phi,\theta} \leq V^*_{K,Q,\theta}$.

Conversely, on K, $V_{K,Q,\theta} \leq Q = \phi = V_{\phi,\theta}$ quasi everywhere. Since $(dd^cV^*_{\phi,\theta})^d$ is supported on $K\bigcup\{0\}$, by Theorem 2.1 we have $V^*_{K,Q,\theta} \leq V^*_{\phi,\theta}$. Hence $V^*_{K,Q,\theta} = V^*_{\phi,\theta}$. This shows that we may reduce the global weighted situation to the compact case by considering the sets $D_{\phi,\theta}$.

As a consequence of the above definitions, Lemma 3.4 and earlier results of this section we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.5. *Let* ϕ *be a globally defined admissible weight, then we have*

i) $S_{\phi,\theta} = supp((dd^cV^*_{\phi,\theta})^d) \subset D_{\phi,\theta} \bigcup \{0\}$ *if* $\theta > 0$*, and for* $\theta = 0$*,* $supp((dd^cV^*_{\phi})^d) \subset\ D_{\phi}$*,*

ii) $D_{\phi,1} \subset D_{\phi,\theta_1} \subset D_{\phi,\theta_2} \subset D_{\phi,0} = D_{\phi}$ *where* $\theta_1 > \theta_2$*,*

iii) $V_{\phi,\theta}$ *is in* L^+_{θ} *for* $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$*,*

iv) *if* $u \in L_{\theta}$ *and* $u \leq \phi$ *on* $D_{\phi,\theta}$ *then* $u \leq V_{\phi,\theta}$*.*

The next lemma shows the monotonicity of the extremal functions under increasing and decreasing θ.

Lemma 3.6. *Let* $K \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ *be a closed set and let* w *be an admissible weight on* K*. For* $0 \leq \theta_0 < 1$*, as* $\theta \searrow \theta_0$ *we have* $V^*_{K,Q,\theta}$ *increases to* V^*_{K,Q,θ_0} *quasi everywhere. If* $\theta \nearrow \theta_0$ *we have* $V^*_{K,Q,\theta}$ *decreases to* V^*_{K,Q,θ_0}*.*

Proof. The last statement is clear, thus we consider $\theta \searrow \theta_0$. Clearly we have monotonicity of the $V^*_{K,Q,\theta}$. Since $V^*_{K,Q,\theta}$ are bounded above by V^*_{K,Q,θ_0}, we have $V^*_{K,Q,\theta}$ increases to a function, v, whose upper semicontinuous regularization v^* is plurisubharmonic and again bounded above by V^*_{K,Q,θ_0}.

Since $V^*_{K,Q,\theta} \in L^+_{\theta}$ we have $V^*_{K,Q,\theta}(z) \geq \max(\theta \log|z|, \log|z|) + M_{\theta}$ where M_{θ} is a constant depending on θ. As $\theta \searrow \theta_0$ we get $v^* \in L^+_{\theta_0}$ since $v^* \leq V^*_{K,Q,\theta_0}$. Also by monotonicity we get $(dd^cV^*_{K,Q,\theta})^d \to (dd^cv^*)^d$ weak-*.

We will write $S := \mathrm{supp}(dd^cv^*)^d \setminus \{0\}$ and $S' := \{z \in K \,|\, v^*(z) \geq Q(z)\}$. By the lower semicontinuity of Q, and upper semicontinuity of v^*, we have S' is closed. Next we will show that $v^* \geq Q$ on S by showing that $S \subset S'$.

Since $(dd^cV^*_{K,Q,\theta})^d \to (dd^cv^*)^d$ we have $S \subset \overline{\bigcup_{\theta>\theta_0} S_{K,Q,\theta_0}} \setminus \{0\}$. By Proposition 3.4, we have $\bigcup_{\theta>\theta_0} S_{K,Q,\theta} \setminus \{0\} \subset \bigcup_{\theta>\theta_0} S^*_{K,Q,\theta} \setminus \{0\} \subset \{z \in K \,|\, v(z) \geq Q(z)\} \subset S'$. Since S' is closed, we get $\overline{\bigcup_{\theta>\theta_0} S_{K,Q,\theta_0}} \setminus \{0\} \subset S'$. Therefore $S \subset S'$. Since $V^*_{K,Q,\theta_0} \leq Q$ quasi everywhere on K and $(dd^cv^*)^d$ does not charge pluripolar sets except the origin, we have $V^*_{K,Q,\theta_0} \leq v^*$ almost everywhere with respect to $(dd^cv^*)^d$ on the support of $(dd^cv^*)^d$. Here we recall that if $\theta > 0$ then $V^*_{K,Q,\theta_0}(0) = v^*(0) = -\infty$. Therefore by the domination principle (Theorem 2.1) we get $V^*_{K,Q,\theta_0} \leq v^*$ on $\mathbb{C}^d$, so that $V^*_{K,Q,\theta_0} = v^*$. $\square$

Corollary 3.7. *Let ϕ be a globally defined admissible weight. Let $0 \leq \theta_0 < 1$, as $\theta \searrow \theta_0$ we have $V^*_{\phi,\theta}$ increases to V^*_{ϕ,θ_0} quasi everywhere, and if $\theta \nearrow \theta_0$ we have $V^*_{\phi,\theta}$ decreases to V^*_{ϕ,θ_0}.*

The following example illustrates the above corollary.

Example 3.8. Let $\phi(z) = |z|^2$. Then we have for $0 < \theta < 1$

$$V_{\phi,\theta}(z) = \begin{cases} \theta \log|z| + \frac{\theta}{2} - \frac{\theta}{2}\log\frac{\theta}{2} & \text{if } |z| < \sqrt{\frac{\theta}{2}}, \\ |z|^2 & \text{if } \sqrt{\frac{\theta}{2}} \leq |z| \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \log|z| + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{1}{2} & \text{if } |z| \geq \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{cases}$$

If $\theta = 1$ we get

$$V_{\phi,\theta}(z) = V_{\phi,1}(z) = \log|z| + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{1}{2}.$$

We had given $V_{\phi,0}$ earlier in (1.8).

Note that $D_{\phi,\theta} = \overline{B(0,\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})} \setminus B(0,\sqrt{\frac{\theta}{2}})$ which increases to $\overline{B(0,\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})} \setminus \{0\}$ as θ decreases to 0.

We define the following notions. Let $K \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ be compact and w be an admissible weight on K. We define

$$\Phi^N_{K,Q,\theta}(z) := \sup\{|P(z)|^{1/N} \mid \|w^N P_N\|_K \leq 1 \text{ where } P_N \in \pi_{N,\theta}\} \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$\Phi_{K,Q,\theta} := \sup_N\{\Phi^N_{K,Q,\theta}\} = \lim_{N\to\infty} \Phi^N_{K,Q,\theta}. \tag{3.8}$$

We can see that the supremum is actually a limit by following the proof of Proposition 2.2.

Theorem 3.9. *Let $0 \leq \theta < 1$. Let $K \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ be a compact set and w be a continuous admissible weight on K. Then $V_{K,Q,\theta} = \log \Phi_{K,Q,\theta}$.*

Proof. Let $P_N \in \pi_{N,\theta}$ satisfying $\|w^N P_N\|_K \leq 1$. Then we have

$$\frac{1}{N}\log|P_N(z)| \leq Q(z) \text{ on } K.$$

Hence we get

$$\log \Phi_{K,Q,\theta} \leq V_{K,Q,\theta}. \tag{3.9}$$

The rest of the proof essentially follows the proof of Callaghan [Cal07]. We will modify the last step using a result of Brelot-Cartan instead of Hartog's lemma.

We fix $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\theta + \varepsilon < 1$. Let $u \in L_{\theta+\varepsilon}$ and $u \leq Q$ on K. By Theorem 2.9 of Appendix B of [ST97], we have

$$u(z) = \lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{1}{N_j} \max_{1\leq k\leq t_j} \log|P_{k,j}(z)|,$$

where the sequence is decreasing and each $P_{k,j}$ is a polynomial of degree at most N_j. Here t_j is a finite number depending on j.

As in [Cal07] we write

$$P_{k,j}(z) := \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{N_j} c_{\alpha,k,j} z^\alpha$$

and

$$P'_{k,j}(z) := \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\lfloor N_j\theta\rfloor} c_{\alpha,k,j} z^\alpha,$$

where $\lfloor x\rfloor$ is the largest integer less then or equal to x.

We remark that $P_{k,j} - P'_{k,j}$ is a θ-incomplete polynomial. By Callaghan's asymptotic estimates we get

$$u(z) = \lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{1}{N_j} \max_{1\leq k\leq t_j} \log|P_{k,j}(z) - P'_{k,j}(z)|$$

pointwise on $\mathbb{C}^d$.

By Theorem 3.4.3 c) of [Ran95], for $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, there exists j_1 such that for $j \geq j_1$ we have

$$\frac{1}{N_j} \max_{1\leq k\leq t_j} \log|P_{k,j}(z) - P'_{k,j}(z)| \leq Q + \varepsilon_1 \text{ on } K,$$

since Q is continuous. Now we have

$$u(z) = \lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{1}{N_j} \max_{1\le k\le t_j} \log|P_{k,j}(z) - P'_{k,j}(z)| \le \log \Phi_{K,Q,\theta}(z) + \varepsilon_1$$

for any ε_1 and therefore $u(z) \le \log \Phi_{K,Q,\theta}(z)$. Hence we get

$$V_{K,Q,\theta+\varepsilon}(z) \le \log \Phi_{K,Q,\theta}(z).$$

By Lemma 3.6, as $\varepsilon \to 0$ we get

$$V_{K,Q,\theta}(z) \le \log \Phi_{K,Q,\theta}(z). \tag{3.10}$$

Combining (3.10) with (3.9) we get the desired result. □

Note that if $\theta = 0$, we recover

$$V_{K,Q} = \log \Phi_{K,Q} \text{ where } \Phi_{K,Q} := \Phi_{K,Q,0} \tag{3.11}$$

Corollary 3.10. *Let $0 \le \theta < 1$. Let ϕ be a globally defined continuous admissible weight, then we have $V_{\phi,\theta} = \log \Phi_{\phi,\theta}$, where*

$$\Phi^N_{\phi,\theta}(z) := \sup\{|P(z)|^{1/N} \mid \|e^{-N\phi}P_N\|_{D_{\phi,\theta}} \le 1 \textit{ where } P_N \in \pi_{N,\theta}\} \tag{3.12}$$

and

$$\Phi_{\phi,\theta} := \sup_N \{\Phi^N_{\phi,\theta}\}. \tag{3.13}$$

Corollary 3.11. *Let $0 \le \theta < 1$. Let ϕ be a globally defined continuous admissible weight, then we have $V_{\phi,\theta} = \log \widetilde{\Phi}_{\phi,\theta}$, where*

$$\widetilde{\Phi}^N_{\phi,\theta}(z) := \sup\{|P(z)|^{1/N} \mid \|e^{-N\phi}P_N\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \le 1 \textit{ where } P_N \in \pi_{N,\theta}\} \tag{3.14}$$

and

$$\widetilde{\Phi}_{\phi,\theta} := \sup_N \{\widetilde{\Phi}^N_{\phi,\theta}\}. \tag{3.15}$$

Proof. It is sufficient to show that for any $P_N \in \pi_{N,\theta}$, $\|e^{-N\phi}P_N\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \le 1$ if and only if $\|e^{-N\phi}P_N\|_{D_{\phi,\theta}} \le 1$. The "only if" direction is trivial. For the other direction let $P_N \in \pi_{N,\theta}$ and $\|e^{-N\phi}P_N\|_{D_{\phi,\theta}} \le 1$. We will show that $\|e^{-N\phi}P_N\|_{\mathbb{C}^d} \le 1$. We have $e^{-N\phi(z)}P_N(z) \le 1$ for $z \in D_{\phi,\theta}$ so we get $\frac{1}{N}\log|P_N(z)| \le \phi(z)$ on $D_{\phi,\theta}$.

Hence it is a competitor for the extremal function $V_{\phi,\theta}$, and we have $\frac{1}{N}\log|P_N(z)| \leq V_{\phi,\theta}(z) \leq \phi(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^d$. Therefore we get $e^{-N\phi(z)}P_N(z) \leq 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^d$. $\square$

4. ASYMPTOTICS

Let K be a compact set in $\mathbb{C}^d$ and μ be a Borel probability measure whose support is in K. We say that the pair (K,μ) satisfies a **Bernstein-Markov property** if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\|P\|_K \leq Ce^{\varepsilon N}\|P\|_{L^2(\mu)} \tag{4.1}$$

holds for all polynomials of degree at most N. Equivalently, there exists M_N with $(M_N)^{\frac{1}{N}} \to 1$ as $N \to \infty$ such that the following inequality holds for all polynomials of degree at most N:

$$\|P\|_K \leq M_N\|P\|_{L^2(\mu)}. \tag{4.2}$$

We remark that if K is a regular compact set then $(K,(dd^cV_K)^d)$ satisfies the Bernstein-Markov property. See [Zér85] for details.

We fix $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$. If these inequalities are satisfied for all $P \in \pi_{N,\theta}$ for all $N \geq 0$, then we say the pair (K,μ) satisfies a **Bernstein-Markov property for θ-incomplete polynomials**.

Let μ be a measure such that (K,μ) satisfies the Bernstein-Markov property for θ-incomplete polynomials. Let $\{P_j\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\pi_{N,\theta}$ with respect to the inner product $\langle f,g\rangle := \int f\bar{g}\,d\mu$. We define the Bergman function $K_{N,\theta}(z,w) := \sum_{j=1}^{d(N,\theta)} P_j(z)\overline{P_j(w)}$, where $d(N,\theta)$ is the dimension of $\pi_{N,\theta}$.

The following two lemmas are generalizations of results of Bloom and Shiffman [BS07].

Lemma 4.1. *If (K,μ) satisfies the Bernstein-Markov property for θ-incomplete polynomials, then for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\frac{(\Phi_{K,\theta,N}(z))^2}{d(N,\theta)} \leq K_{N,\theta}(z,z) \leq Ce^{\epsilon N}(\Phi_{K,\theta,N}(z))^2 d(N,\theta) \tag{4.3}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^d$.

Proof. To show the first inequality we take $P \in \pi_{N,\theta}$ and $\|P\|_K \leq 1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|P(z)| &= \left|\int_K K_{N,\theta}(z,w)P(w)d\mu(w)\right| \leq \int_K |K_{N,\theta}(z,w)|d\mu(w) \\
&\leq \int_K (K_{N,\theta}(z,z))^{\frac{1}{2}}(K_{N,\theta}(w,w))^{\frac{1}{2}}d\mu(w) = (K_{N,\theta}(z,z))^{\frac{1}{2}}\|(K_{N,\theta}(w,w))^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L^1(\mu)} \\
&\leq (K_{N,\theta}(z,z))^{\frac{1}{2}}\|1\|_{L^2(\mu)}\|(K_{N,\theta}(w,w))\|_{L^2(\mu)} = (K_{N,\theta}(z,z))^{\frac{1}{2}}d(N,\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum of all P as above we have $\Phi_{K,\theta,N}(z) \leq (K_{N,\theta}(z,z))^{\frac{1}{2}}d(N,\theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, which gives the first inequality.

For the second inequality, let $\{P_j\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\pi_{N,\theta}$. Then by the Bernstein-Markov property we have $\|P_j\|_K \leq Ce^{\varepsilon N}$, hence $|P_j(z)| \leq \|P_j\|_K\Phi_{K,\theta,N}(z) \leq Ce^{\varepsilon N}\Phi_{K,\theta,N}(z)$, for all P_j. Thus we have

$$K_{N,\theta}(z,z) = \sum_{j=1}^{d(N,\theta)} |P_j(z)|^2 \leq d(N,\theta)C^2e^{2\varepsilon N}(\Phi_{K,\theta,N}(z))^2.$$

Hence we get the second inequality. □

Lemma 4.2. *Let $0 \leq \theta < 1$. Let K be a regular compact set in $\mathbb{C}^d$. If (K,μ) satisfies the Bernstein-Markov property for θ-incomplete polynomials, then we have*

$$\frac{1}{2N}\log K_{N,\theta}(z,z) \to V_{K,\theta}(z)$$

uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}^d \setminus \hat{K}_\theta$.

Proof. We remark that $d(N,\theta) \leq d(N) := d(N,0)$ and $d(N) = \binom{N+d}{d} \leq (N+d)^d$.

Taking logarithms in (4.3), we obtain

$$-\frac{\log d(N,\theta)}{N} \leq \frac{\log(\frac{K_{N,\theta}(z,z)}{(\Phi_{K,\theta,N}(z))^2})}{N} \leq \frac{\log(Ce^{\epsilon N}d(N,\theta))}{N}.$$

By the above observation we get

$$-\frac{d}{N}\log(N+d) \leq \frac{1}{N}\log(\frac{K_{N,\theta}(z,z)}{(\Phi_{K,\theta,N}(z))^2}) \leq \frac{\log C}{N} + \epsilon + \frac{d}{N}\log(N+d).$$

Since ε is arbitrary we have $\frac{1}{N}\log(\frac{K_{N,\theta}(z,z)}{(\Phi_{K,\theta,N}(z))^2}) \to 0$, which gives the desired result by Theorem 2.3. □

Let K be a compact set with admissible weight w on K. Let μ be a Borel probability measure on K. We say the triple (K, μ, w) satisfies a **weighted Bernstein-Markov property** if there exists $M_N > 0$ with $(M_N)^{1/N} \to 1$ such that for any polynomial P_N of degree N,

$$\|w^N P_N\|_K \leq M_N \|w^N P_N\|_{L^2(\mu)}. \tag{4.4}$$

We remark that if K is locally regular and Q is continuous then $(K, (dd^c V_{K,Q})^d, w)$ satisfies a weighted Bernstein-Markov property by Corollary 3.1 of [Blo06]. Also $(D_\phi, (dd^c V_\phi)^d, e^{-\phi})$ satisfies the weighted Bernstein-Markov property if ϕ is continuous by Theorem 4.5 of [BB].

Theorem 4.3. *Let K be a compact set with a continuous admissible weight w on K. Let μ be a probability measure on K such that (K, μ, w) satisfies a weighted Bernstein-Markov property. Then we have*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \sup_{k=1,\ldots,d(N)} (|B_{k,N}(z)|)^{1/N} = e^{V_{K,Q}(z)}, \tag{4.5}$$

where $\{B_{k,N}\}_{k=1}^{d(N)}$ is an orthonormal basis for the polynomials with degree at most N with respect to the measure $w^{2N}\mu$.

We remark that unlike the unweighted case, where $w = 1$, each time N changes the basis and the L^2 norms change.

Proof. By the weighted Bernstein-Markov property we have

$$\|w^N B_{k,N}\|_K \leq M_N \|w^N B_{k,N}\|_{L^2(\mu)},$$

so we get

$$\frac{1}{N} \log \frac{|B_{k,N}(z)|}{M_N} \leq Q(z) \text{ on } K.$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{N} \log \frac{|B_{k,N}(z)|}{M_N} \leq V_{K,Q}(z) \text{ on } \mathbb{C}^d.$$

Since $(M_N)^{1/N} \to 1$, we have $\limsup_{N\to\infty}(\sup_{k=1,\ldots,d(N)} (|B_{k,n}(z)|)^{1/N} \leq \limsup_{N\to\infty}(e^{V_{K,Q}(z)} M_N^{\frac{1}{N}}) \leq e^{V_{K,Q}(z)}$.

Now we want to show that $\liminf_{N\to\infty}(\sup_{k=1}^{d(N)}(|B_{k,N}(z)|)^{1/N} \geq e^{V_{K,Q}(z)}$, for $V_{K,Q}(z) > 0$.

Let P be a polynomial of degree at most N such that $\|w^N P\|_K \leq 1$. We will write $w = e^{-Q}$. Since $\{B_{k,N}\}_{k=1}^{d(N)}$ is an orthonormal basis we have

$$P(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{d(N)} \left(\int_K P\bar{B}_{j,N} e^{-2NQ} d\mu \right) B_{j,N}(z).$$

By the triangle inequality we have

$$|P(z)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{d(N)} \left| \int_K P\bar{B}_{j,N} e^{-2NQ} d\mu \right| |B_{j,N}(z)|.$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we have

$$|P(z)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{d(N)} \left| \left(\int_K |P|^2 e^{-2NQ} d\mu \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_K |B_{j,N}|^2 e^{-2NQ} d\mu \right)^{1/2} \right| |B_{j,N}(z)|.$$

Now since $\|w^N P\|_K \leq 1$ and $\{B_{k,N}\}_{k=1}^{d(N)}$ is an orthonormal basis we get

$$|P(z)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{d(N)} |B_{j,N}(z)|.$$

This implies that

$$|P(z)| \leq (d(N)) \sup_{j=1}^{d(N)} (|B_{j,N}(z)|) \text{ for any } z \in \mathbb{C}^d. \tag{4.6}$$

We fix $z \in \mathbb{C}^d$. Then we have

(4.7)

$$e^{V_{K,Q}(z)} \leq \liminf_{N\to\infty} \left(\sup_{P\in\pi_{N,0},\, \|w^N P\|_K \leq 1} |P(z)|^{1/N} \right) \leq \liminf_{N\to\infty} (d(N))^{1/N} \left(\sup_{j=1}^{d(N)} (|B_{j,N}(z)|) \right)^{1/N}.$$

Here $e^{V_{K,Q}} \leq \liminf_{N\to\infty} \left(\sup_{P\in\pi_{N,0},\, \|w^N P\|_K \leq 1} |P(z)|^{1/N} \right)$ follows from (3.11). Now since $(d(N))^{1/N} \to 1$ we get the result. □

Corollary 4.4. *Let ϕ be a globally defined continuous admissible weight and μ be a Borel probability measure on D_ϕ such that $(D_\phi, \mu, e^{-\phi})$ satisfies a weighted Bernstein-Markov property. Then we have*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \sup_{k=1,\ldots,d(N)} (|B_{k,N}(z)|)^{1/N} = e^{V_\phi(z)}. \tag{4.8}$$

Here $\{B_{k,N}\}_{k=1}^{d(N)}$ *is an orthonormal basis for the polynomials with degree at most* N *with respect to the measure* $e^{-2N\phi}\mu$.

If (4.4) holds for any $P_N \in \pi_{N,\theta}$ then we say (K,μ,w) satisfies a **weighted Bernstein-Markov property for θ-incomplete polynomials.**

We remark that if a triple (K,μ,w) satisfies a weighted Bernstein-Markov property, then it satisfies the weighted Bernstein-Markov property for θ-incomplete polynomials.

Using only the orthonormal basis for $\pi_{N,\theta}$ and using Theorem 3.9 instead of (3.11) we get the following theorem by the same proof as for Theorem 4.3.

Theorem 4.5. *Let* $0 \leq \theta < 1$. *Let* K *be a compact set with a continuous admissible weight* w *on* K. *Let* μ *be a measure on* K *such that* (K,μ,w) *satisfies the weighted Bernstein-Markov property for* θ*-incomplete polynomials. Then we have*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \sup_{k=1,\ldots,d(N,\theta)} (|B^{\theta}_{k,N}(z)|)^{1/N} = e^{V_{K,Q,\theta}(z)}, \tag{4.9}$$

where $\{B^{\theta}_{k,N}\}_{k=1}^{d(N,\theta)}$ *is an orthonormal basis for* $\pi_{N,\theta}$ *with respect to the measure* $w^{2N}\mu$.

Corollary 4.6. *Let* $0 \leq \theta < 1$. *Let* ϕ *be a globally defined continuous admissible weight. If* $(D_\phi,\mu,e^{-\phi})$ *satisfies a weighted Bernstein-Markov property then we have*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \sup_{k=1,\ldots,d(N,\theta)} (|B^{\theta}_{k,N}(z)|)^{1/N} = e^{V_{\phi,\theta}(z)}, \tag{4.10}$$

where $\{B^{\theta}_{k,N}\}_{k=1}^{d(N,\theta)}$ *is an orthonormal basis for* $\pi_{N,\theta}$ *with respect to the measure* $e^{-2N\phi}\mu$.

Finally, we prove the strong Bergman asymptotics in the weighted θ-incomplete setting following [Ber] closely. We fix $0 \leq \theta < 1$. Let ϕ be a globally defined admissible weight and $\phi(z) \geq (1+\varepsilon)\log|z|$ if $|z| \gg 1$. Let $\{p_1,\ldots,p_{d(N,\theta)}\}$ be an orthonormal basis for $\pi_{N,\theta}$ with respect to the inner product $\langle f,g\rangle := \int_{\mathbb{C}^d} f\bar{g}e^{-2N\phi}\omega_d$ where $\omega_d(z) = (dd^c|z|^2)^d/4^d d!$ on $\mathbb{C}^d$. We denote the L^2-norm by $||p_N||^2_{N\phi} := ||p_N||^2_{\omega_d,N\phi} = \int_{\mathbb{C}^d} |p_N(z)|^2 e^{-2N\phi(z)}\omega_d(z)$. We define the $N-$th θ-incomplete Bergman function by

$$K_N(z) := K^{\phi}_{N,\theta}(z,z) = \sum_{j=1}^{d(N,\theta)} |p_j(z)|^2 e^{-2N\phi(z)}. \tag{4.11}$$

By the reproducing property of the Bergman functions we have

$$K_N(z) = \sup_{p_N \in \pi_{N,\theta} \setminus \{0\}} |p_N(z)|^2 e^{-2N\phi(z)} / ||p_N||^2_{N\phi}. \tag{4.12}$$

Theorem 4.7. *Let* $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{C}^d)$ *with* $\phi(z) \geq (1+\epsilon)\log|z|$ *for* $|z| \gg 1$. *If* $V_{\phi,\theta} \in C^{1,1}(\mathbb{C}^d \setminus \{0\})$ *then* $(dd^cV_{\phi,\theta})^d$ *is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure on* $\mathbb{C}^d \setminus \{0\}$ *and* $\det(dd^c\phi)\omega_d = (dd^cV_{\phi,\theta})^d$ *on* $\mathbb{C}^d \setminus \{0\}$ *as* (d,d) *forms with* $L^\infty_{loc}(\mathbb{C}^d)$ *coefficients. For a compact set* K *we have a local bound*

$$\frac{1}{d(N,\theta)} K_N(z) \leq C = C(K) \text{ for } z \in K. \tag{4.13}$$

Moreover we have

$$\frac{1}{d(N,\theta)} K_N \to \frac{1}{(1-\theta^d)} \chi_{D_{\phi,\theta} \cap P} \frac{\det(dd^c\phi)}{(2\pi)^d} \text{ in } L^1(\mathbb{C}^d) \tag{4.14}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{d(N,\theta)} K_N \omega_d \to \frac{1}{(1-\theta^d)} \frac{(dd^cV_{\phi,\theta})^d}{(2\pi)^d} \text{ weak} - * \text{ on } D_{\phi,\theta} \cap P. \tag{4.15}$$

Here $\det(dd^cu) := \frac{(dd^cu)^d}{\omega_d}$ and for a twice continuously differentiable function u we have $\det(dd^cu) = 2i \det[\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k}]_{j,k=1,\ldots,d}$. The characteristic function of a set A is denoted by χ_A. We remark that we assume $V_{\phi,\theta} \in C^{1,1}(\mathbb{C}^d \setminus \{0\})$.

We will use the following lemma from measure theory in the proof of the theorem.

Lemma 4.8. [Ber06, Lemma 2.2] *Let* (X,μ) *be a measure space and let* $\{f_N\}$ *be a sequence of uniformly bounded, integrable functions on* X. *If* f *is a bounded, integrable function on* X *with*

1. $\lim_{N\to\infty} \int_X f_N d\mu = \int_X f d\mu$ *and*
2. $\limsup_{N\to\infty} f_N \leq f$ *a.e. with respect to* μ

then f_N *converges to* f *in* $L^1(X,\mu)$.

Proof of Theorem 4.7. The $\theta = 0$ case is proven by Berman in [Ber], so we assume $0 < \theta < 1$.

By assumption $V_{\phi,\theta} = \phi$ on $D_{\phi,\theta} \cap P$ and both are $C^{1,1}$ on $D_{\phi,\theta} \cap P$. Therefore $\det(dd^c\phi)\omega_d = (dd^cV_{\phi,\theta})^d$ on $D_{\phi,\theta} \cap P$ almost everywhere as (d,d) forms with L^∞ coefficients by the argument in Section 12 of [Dem92].

First of all using (4.12) to prove an asymptotic upper bound on $\frac{1}{d(N,\theta)}K_N(z)$ at a point $z_0 = (z_1^0, \dots, z_d^0)$, we can assume that near z_0, ϕ is of the form

$$\phi(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \lambda_j |z_j - z_j^0|^2 + 0(|z - z_0|^3) \tag{4.16}$$

as in [Ber]. Namely we assume that $\phi(z_0) = 0$ and the first order partial derivatives of ϕ vanish at z_0.

Following [Ber], we have for each $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}^d$ there exist $R > 0$ and a constant C such that

$$|\phi(z)| \leq C|z - z_0|^2 \text{ on } B(z_0, R), \tag{4.17}$$

and for any $R > 0$ we have

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \left[\sup_{z\in B(0,R)} \left| N\phi(z/\sqrt{N} + z_0) - \sum_{j=1}^{d} \lambda_j |z_j|^2 \right| \right] = 0. \tag{4.18}$$

We fix z_0 be a point in $\mathbb{C}^d$. We take a polynomial $p_N \in \pi_{N,\theta}$ satisfying the extremal property (4.12) at z_0. Then we have

$$\frac{1}{d(N,\theta)} K_N(z_0) = \frac{|p_N(z_0)|^2 e^{-2N\phi(z_0)}}{d(N,\theta)||p_N||_{N\phi}^2} = \frac{|p_N(z_0)|^2}{d(N,\theta) \int_{\mathbb{C}^d} |p_N(z)|^2 e^{-2N\phi(z)} \omega_d(z)}$$

By positivity of the integrand we have

$$\frac{1}{d(N,\theta)} K_N(z_0) \leq \frac{|p_N(z_0)|^2}{d(N,\theta) \int_{|z-z_0|\leq R/\sqrt{N}} |p_N(z)|^2 e^{-2N\phi(z)} \omega_d(z)}.$$

We choose R as in (4.17) so that we can replace $\phi(z)$ by $C|z - z_0|^2$ in the integrand and thus we have

$$\frac{1}{d(N,\theta)} K_N(z_0) \leq \frac{|p_N(z_0)|^2}{d(N,\theta) \int_{|z-z_0|\leq R/\sqrt{N}} |p_N(z)|^2 e^{-2NC|z-z_0|^2} \omega_d(z)}.$$

We apply the subaveraging property to the subharmonic function $|p_N|^2$ on the ball $\{|z-z_0| \le R/\sqrt{N}\}$ with respect to the radial probability measure with center z_0 $\frac{e^{-2NC|z-z_0|^2}\omega_d(z)}{\int_{|z-z_0|\le R/\sqrt{N}} e^{-2NC|z-z_0|^2}\omega_d(z)}$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{d(N,\theta)}K_N(z_0) &\le \frac{1}{d(N,\theta)\displaystyle\int\limits_{|z-z_0|\le R/\sqrt{N}} e^{-2NC|z-z_0|^2}\omega_d(z)}\\ &\le \frac{N^d}{d(N,\theta)\int_{|z'|\le R} e^{-2C|z'|^2}\omega_d(z')}\end{aligned}$$

For the last inequality we used a change of variable $z \to z' := (z-z_0)\sqrt{N}$, where $\omega_d(z') = N^d\omega_d(z)$. Since $d(N,\theta) \asymp (1-\theta^d)d(N,0)$, we have $d(N,\theta) \ge (1-\tilde{\theta}^d)d(N,0)$ for all $N \ge N_0$ for some $\widetilde{\theta} \ge \theta$. Now using the estimate $d(N,\theta) \ge (1-\tilde{\theta}^d)d(N,0) = (1-\tilde{\theta}^d)\binom{d+N}{d} \ge (1-\tilde{\theta}^d)N^d/d!$ for all $N \ge N_0$, we get

$$\frac{1}{d(N,\theta)}K_N(z_0) \le \frac{d!}{(1-\tilde{\theta}^d)\int_{|z'|\le R} e^{-2C|z'|^2}\omega_d(z')} \text{ for all } N \ge N_0.$$

The right hand side of the inequality is uniformly bounded. As z_0 varies on the compact set K, we get a constant $C(K)$ giving a local bound for all $N \ge N_0$. By continuity of $\frac{1}{d(N,\theta)}K_N(z)$, and considering the $\max_{N=1,\cdots,N_0}\sup_{z\in K}\frac{1}{d(N,\theta)}K_N(z)$ we get the local bound (4.13) holds at each point of K.

For the rest of the proof, we fix z_0 and start with the inequality

$$\frac{1}{d(N,\theta)}K_N(z_0) \le \frac{|p_N(z_0)|^2}{d(N,\theta)\int_{|z-z_0|\le R/\sqrt{N}} |p_N(z)|^2 e^{-2N\phi(z)}\omega_d(z)}$$

which holds for any $R > 0$. By using the same change of variable and estimates as above we get

$$\frac{1}{d(N,\theta)}K_N(z_0) \le \frac{d!|p_N(z_0)|^2}{(1-\tilde{\theta}^d)\int_{|z'|\le R} |p_N(z'/\sqrt{N}+z_0)|^2 e^{-2N\phi(z'/\sqrt{N}+z_0)}\omega_d(z')},$$

for all $N \ge N_0$ where $\tilde{\theta} \ge \theta$. Multiplying the integrand by $e^{-2\sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j|z_j'|^2}e^{2\sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j|z_j'|^2}$ and taking the infimum of $\exp\left[-2\left|N\phi(z'/\sqrt{N}) - \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j|z_j'|^2\right|\right]$ on $B(0,R)$ out of the integral, we get

$$\frac{1}{d(N,\theta)}K_N(z_0) \le \frac{d!|p_N(z_0)|^2 \exp\left[2\sup_{|z'|\le R}\left|N\phi(z'/\sqrt{N}) - \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j|z'_j|^2\right|\right]}{(1-\tilde{\theta}^d)\int_{|z'|\le R}|p_N(z'/\sqrt{N}+z_0)|^2 e^{-2\sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j|z'_j|^2}\omega_d(z')},$$

for all $N \ge N_0$. We apply the subaveraging property to the subharmonic function $|p_N(z'/\sqrt{N}+z_0)|^2$ with respect to radial probability measure $\frac{e^{-2\sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j|z'_j|^2}\omega_d(z')}{\int_{|z'|\le R} e^{-2\sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j|z'_j|^2}\omega_d(z')}$ and we get

$$\frac{1}{d(N,\theta)}K_N(z_0) \le \frac{d!\exp\left[2\sup_{|z'|\le R}\left|N\phi(z'/\sqrt{N}) - \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j|z'_j|^2\right|\right]}{(1-\tilde{\theta}^d)\int_{|z'|\le R} e^{-2\sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j|z'_j|^2}\omega_d(z')}$$

for all $N \ge N_0$. By (4.18), $\exp\left[2\sup_{|z'|\le R}\left|N\phi(z'/\sqrt{N}) - \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j|z'_j|^2\right|\right] \to 1$ as $N \to \infty$. Therefore we have

$$\limsup_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{d(N,\theta)}K_N(z_0) \le \frac{d!}{(1-\tilde{\theta}^d)\int_{|z'|\le R} e^{-2\sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j|z'_j|^2}\omega_d(z')}.$$

As $R \to \infty$ the Gaussian integral on the right hand side goes to $\frac{\pi^d}{2^d\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_d}$ if all $\lambda_j > 0$ and to $+\infty$ otherwise. Since $\det(dd^c\phi(z_0)) = 4^d d!\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_d$ we have

$$\limsup_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{d(N,\theta)}K_N(z) \le \frac{1}{(1-\tilde{\theta}^d)}\chi_{D_{\phi,\theta}\cap P}\frac{\det(dd^c\phi)}{(2\pi)^d} \text{ a.e on } \mathbb{C}^d. \tag{4.19}$$

Letting $\tilde{\theta} \to \theta$ we obtain

$$\limsup_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{d(N,\theta)}K_N(z) \le \frac{1}{(1-\theta^d)}\chi_{D_{\phi,\theta}\cap P}\frac{\det(dd^c\phi)}{(2\pi)^d} \text{ a.e on } \mathbb{C}^d. \tag{4.20}$$

By the definition of lim sup and using the extremal property (4.12), we get

$$\frac{1}{N^d}|p_N(z)|^2 e^{-2N\phi(z)}/||p_N||^2_{N\phi} \le C_N \text{ on } D_{\phi,\theta} \text{ for any } p_N \in \pi_{N,\theta}, \tag{4.21}$$

where $C_N = \frac{1}{(1-\theta^d)}\sup_{z\in D_{\phi,\theta}\cap P}\frac{\det(dd^c\phi(z))}{(2\pi)^d}$. Next we will show that

$$\frac{1}{N^d}K_N(z) \le C_N e^{-2N(\phi(z)-V_{\phi,\theta}(z))} \text{ on } \mathbb{C}^d. \tag{4.22}$$

Let $p_N \in \pi_{N,\theta}$ such that $\|p_N\|^2_{N\phi} = N^{-d}$, then by (4.21) we have

$$|p_N(z)|^2 e^{-2N\phi(z)} \le C_N \text{ on } D_{\phi,\theta}.$$

By taking logarithms we get

$$\frac{1}{2N}\log|p_N(z)|^2 \leq \phi(z) + \frac{1}{2N}\log C_N \text{ on } D_{\phi,\theta}$$

and thus we have

$$\frac{1}{2N}\log|p_N(z)|^2 \leq V_{\phi,\theta}(z) + \frac{1}{2N}\log C_N \text{ on } \mathbb{C}^d.$$

So from the extremal property of Bergman functions (4.12) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{N^d}K_N(z) = \sup_{||p_N||^2_{N\phi}=N^{-d}} |p_N(z)|^2 e^{-2N\phi(z)} \leq C_N e^{-2N(\phi(z)-V_{\phi,\theta}(z))} \quad \text{on } \mathbb{C}^d.$$

Since $\phi(z) > V_{\phi,\theta}(z)$ on $\mathbb{C}^d \setminus D_{\phi,\theta}$, we obtain

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N^d}K_N(z) = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{C}^d \setminus D_{\phi,\theta}.$$

Using $d(N,\theta) \asymp (1-\theta^d)d(N,0)$, we obtain

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{d(N,\theta)}K_N(z) = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{C}^d \setminus D_{\phi,\theta}.$$

From (4.22) and the growth assumption on ϕ, for a sufficiently large R, there is a C with

$$\frac{1}{N^d}K_N(z) \leq C|z|^{-2N\epsilon} \text{ for } |z| > R. \tag{4.23}$$

By combining the local bound (4.13) and above estimate (4.23) we get a global bound for $\frac{1}{d(N,\theta)}K_N$. Therefore Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem gives that

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{C}^d\setminus D_{\phi,\theta}}\frac{1}{d(N,\theta)}K_N\omega_d = 0. \tag{4.24}$$

Next we show that

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\int_{D_{\phi,\theta}\cap P}\frac{1}{d(N,\theta)}K_N\omega_d = \frac{1}{(1-\theta^d)}\int_{D_{\phi,\theta}\cap P}\frac{\det(dd^c\phi)}{(2\pi)^d}\omega_d. \tag{4.25}$$

To prove (4.25), we know that

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^d}K_N\omega_d = d(N,\theta)$$

and using (4.24) we have

$$1 = \lim_{N\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{C}^d} \frac{1}{d(N,\theta)} K_N \omega_d = \lim_{N\to\infty} \int_{D_{\phi,\theta}\cap P} \frac{1}{d(N,\theta)} K_N \omega_d.$$

On the other hand, using the positivity of the integrand and applying (4.20) on $D_{\phi,\theta}$, we have

$$1 = \lim_{N\to\infty} \int_{D_{\phi,\theta}} \frac{1}{d(N,\theta)} K_N \omega_d \leq \frac{1}{(1-\theta^d)} \int_{D_{\phi,\theta}\cap P} \frac{\det(dd^c\phi)}{(2\pi)^d} \omega_d.$$

By the first part of this theorem, we can replace $\det(dd^c\phi)\omega_d$ by $(dd^cV_{\phi,\theta})^d$ which has total mass $(2\pi)^d(1-\theta^d)$ on $D_{\phi,\theta}\cap P$, hence we have

$$1 = \lim_{N\to\infty} \int_{D_{\phi,\theta}\cap P} \frac{1}{d(N,\theta)} K_N \omega_d \leq \frac{1}{(1-\theta^d)} \int_{D_{\phi,\theta}\cap P} \frac{(dd^cV_{\phi,\theta})^d}{(2\pi)^d} = \frac{(2\pi)^d(1-\theta^d)}{(2\pi)^d(1-\theta^d)} = 1.$$

This gives (4.25). We will use this relation, together with (4.14), to show that

$$\frac{1}{d(N,\theta)} K_N \to \frac{1}{(1-\theta^d)} \chi_{D_{\phi,\theta}\cap P} \frac{\det(dd^c\phi)}{(2\pi)^d} \text{ in } L^1(\mathbb{C}^d).$$

We set $f_N := \frac{1}{d(N,\theta)} K_N$ and $f := \frac{1}{(1-\theta^d)} \chi_{D_{\phi,\theta}\cap P} \frac{\det(dd^c\phi)}{(2\pi)^d}$. By the upper bound (4.20) we have $\limsup\limits_{N\to\infty} f_N \leq f$ almost everywhere and by (4.24) and (4.25) we have $\lim_{N\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{C}^d} f_N \omega_d = \int_{\mathbb{C}^d} f\omega_d$. Thus by Lemma 4.8 we get the convergence of $\frac{1}{d(N,\theta)} K_N$ to $\frac{1}{(1-\theta^d)} \chi_{D_{\phi,\theta}\cap P} \frac{\det(dd^c\phi)}{(2\pi)^d}$ in $L^1(\mathbb{C}^d)$. This implies the weak-* convergence of $\frac{1}{d(N,\theta)} K_N \omega_d$ to $\frac{1}{(1-\theta^d)} \chi_{D_{\phi,\theta}\cap P} \frac{\det(dd^c\phi)}{(2\pi)^d} \omega_d$ and completes the proof of the theorem. ☐

REFERENCES

[BB] Robert Berman and Sebastien Boucksom, *Capacities and weighted volumes of line bundles*, arXiv:math/0803.1950v1.

[Ber] Robert Berman, *Bergman kernels for weighted polynomials and weighted equilibrium measures of* $\mathbb{C}^d$, arXiv:math/0702357v2.

[Ber06] ______, *Super Toeplitz operators on line bundles*, J. Geom. Anal. **16** (2006), no. 1, 1–22. MR MR2211329 (2007f:32027)

[BG91] Carlos A. Berenstein and Roger Gay, *Complex variables: An introduction*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 125, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.

[BL03] T. Bloom and N. Levenberg, *Weighted pluripotential theory in* $\mathbf{C}^N$, Amer. J. Math. **125** (2003), no. 1, 57–103.

[Blo06] Thomas Bloom, *Weighted polynomials and weighted pluripotential theory*, arXiv:math/0610330v2 (2006).

[BS07] Thomas Bloom and Bernard Shiffman, *Zeros of random polynomials on* $\mathbb{C}^m$, Math. Res. Lett. **14** (2007), no. 3, 469–479.

[Cal06] Joe Callaghan, *A Green's function for* θ*-incomplete polynomials*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Toronto, 2006.

[Cal07] ———, *A Green's function for* θ*-incomplete polynomials*, Ann. Polon. Math. **90** (2007), no. 1, 21–35.

[Dem87] Jean-Pierre Demailly, *Mesures de Monge-Ampère et mesures pluriharmoniques*, Math. Z. **194** (1987), no. 4, 519–564.

[Dem92] ———, *Potential theory in several complex variables*, Available at http://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/ demailly/manuscripts/trento2.pdf, 1992.

[Kli91] Maciej Klimek, *Pluripotential theory*, London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, vol. 6, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1991, Oxford Science Publications.

[Ran95] Thomas Ransford, *Potential theory in the complex plane*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, vol. 28, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[Sic81] Józef Siciak, *Extremal plurisubharmonic functions in* $\mathbf{C}^n$, Ann. Polon. Math. **39** (1981), 175–211.

[ST97] Edward B. Saff and Vilmos Totik, *Logarithmic potentials with external fields*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 316, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997, Appendix B by Thomas Bloom.

[Zér85] Ahmed Zériahi, *Capcité, constante de Čebyšev et polynômes orthogonaux associés à un compact de* $\mathbf{C}^n$, Bull. Sci. Math. (2) **109** (1985), no. 3, 325–335.

Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405 USA
E-mail address: `malan@indiana.edu`